Vote Record

Assembly Committee on Transportation

Date: 3-2-99 Moved by: 1500 ss. AB: Ss. Ss.	Seconded by: Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment:		Steinburk			
AJR: SJR: A: SR:		Other:				
A: SR: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Sub Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: Be recommended for: Passage Introduction Adoption Rejection	to A/S Amdt: to A/S Sulp Amdt: to A/S Amdt:	Indefinite Postpone Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation	to A/S Sub An ement	ndt:		
Committee Member Rep. David Brandemuehl Chep. Jeff Stone Rep. Eugene Hahn Rep. Michael Huebsch Rep. Steve Kestell Rep. Joseph Leibham Rep. Jerry Petrowski Rep. Jerry Petrowski Rep. John Townsend Rep. Julie Lassa Rep. Donald Hasenohrl Rep. Barbara Gronemus Rep. Robert Turner Rep. Leon Young Rep. John Steinbrink Rep. Larry Balow Rep. Gary Sherman	nair Totals:		Absent	Not Voting		

Motion Carried Motion Failed

	M	ORIGINAL		UPDATED		LRB or Bill I LRB-038	No./Adm. Rule	No. 54
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)		CORRECTED		SUPPLEMENTA	L	Amendmen	No. if Applica	ible
Subject	_4_4_ :			_		L		
notor carriers and the single-	state inst	urance registrati	on sy	stem and prov	iding a pena	lty		
iscal Effect	***************************************		:				***	
State: No State Fiscal Effe								
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.					☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No			
☐ Increase Existing Appropriat	tion	☐ Increase Exis	ting Re	venues				
☐ Decrease Existing Appropria	ation	☐ Decrease Exi			☐ Decrease	Costs		
Create New Appropriation								
Local: X No local governmen					1			
	o. Li inclease Nevenues		7 Manadata -	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected				
Decrease Costs	- 1	4. Decrease Re		☐ Mandatory	☐ Towns	☐ Villag		ities
☐ Permissive ☐ Mand		☐ Permissiv		J Mandatory	☐ School Dis	☐ Other	's ☐ WTCS Dis	4===4=
und Sources Affected					ch. 20 Appropr		LI WICS DIS	uicis
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO		☐ SEG ☐ SEG	3-S					
ssumptions Used in Arriving at Fis	scal Estima	ite						
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputification of the result of	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
r not meeting insurance requised to foil requisions requisions of the requision of the requirement of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputifications of the results of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
r not meeting insurance requisions in surance requisions of the constant of the contract of th	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
r not meeting insurance requised to foil requisions requisions of the requision of the requirement of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputifications of the results of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
r not meeting insurance requised to foil republic requisions of the requision of the requirement of the requ	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputifications of the results of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputifications of the results of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
or not meeting insurance required to foil or not meeting insurance requires. Thus, in-s	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
r not meeting insurance required to foil reputifications of the results of the re	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
r not meeting insurance required to follow not meeting insurance requires. Thus, in-solid bill has no fiscal impact of	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
or not meeting insurance required to foil or not meeting insurance requires. Thus, in-s his bill has no fiscal impact or	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nana
or not meeting insurance required to foil or not meeting insurance required visconsin carriers. Thus, in-s his bill has no fiscal impact or	iow certal uirements state and	in Wisconsin red under SSRS is out-of-state car	quirent the s riers a	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same.	ill also clar insurance	ifies that th	a nanai
This bill clarifies that motor can SSRS) are not required to follow not meeting insurance requivisconsin carriers. Thus, in-strike bill has no fiscal impact of this bill has no fiscal impact of the	iow certal lirements state and n the Dep	ander SSRS is out-of-state can partment of Tran	quirents the striers ansport	nents for insura ame as for not are treated the	ance. The base meeting the same. Transportate	ill also clane insurance	ifies that th	a nanai

	SCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEE	•			•	1997	Sessio	n
	ailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	ORIGINAL CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	☐ UPDATED		or Bill No./Adı -0383/1	m. Rule No.	Amen	dment No.
Sub						·		
I.	or carriers and the single-state insurance registra One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts to	tion system and provident for State and/or L	ding a penalty	(do not i	include in a		d finant a	66 43
Noi	16.			(do not i	include in a	innualized	ı fiscai e	mect):
<u>II.</u>	Annualized Costs:					t on State funds from:		
A.	State Costs by Category				Increased Co	sts	Decre	eased Costs
	State Operations - Salaries and	Fringes		\$			\$ -	•
	(FTE Position Changes)				(F	TE)	(-	FTI
	State Operations - Other Costs						•	
7.44	Local Assistance						-	
	Aids to Individuals or Organization	ons	*	·			•	
	TOTAL State Costs by Cate	gory		\$	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. \$ -	
В.	State Costs by Source of Funds				ncreased Co	sts	Decre	ased Costs
·,	GPR			\$			\$ -	
	FED						-	,
	PRO/PRS						-	
	SEG/SEG-S		. B.		. eeta j	a quag a		
III.	State Revenues - Complete this only state revenues (e.g.	when proposal will incr ., tax increase, decrea	ease or decrease		Increased Re	v.	Decre	eased Rev.
-	etc.) GPR Taxes	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		\$			\$ -	
	GPR Earned			e e	e.		•	
	FED						•	
	PRO/PRS			·			•	
ſ	SEG/SEG-S						•	
	TOTAL State Revenues			\$		\$	-	
		NET ANNUALIZ	ZED FISCAL IMF	ACT		LOCAL		
IET (CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	-()_	\$	-0-		
	CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	-()_	\$	-0-		
Agenc Transp	y/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) portation/Carson P. Frazier, 266-7857	K	Authorized Signature/	Telephone	No. 266-2	 2233	Date 12/15/98	3
				X	nual			

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET



DAVID BRANDEMUEHL

State Representative
49th Assembly District

Off owens
Albers

Sylvan Hahn

Lafare Power

Times

TO:

All Legislators

FROM:

Rep. David Brandemuehl

DATE:

December 17, 1998

RE:

Co-sponsorship of LRB 03834, relating to motor carriers and the single-

state insurance registration system and providing a penalty.

Currently, Wisconsin participates in the Single State Registration System (SSRS) which is a base-state agreement with other states. Motor carriers (interstate truckers) file proof of insurance with their own (base) state and pay permit fees for all states in which the vehicle will operate. The base state then transmits to all other states the permit fees due each state. Thus, registering under the SSRS assures all participating states that a carrier has filed insurance and is properly registered.

I am introducing legislation to require all interstate regulated for-hire carriers to operate with a valid permit from any SSRS state. Wisconsin statutes currently authorize enforcement to cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that the carrier filed insurance in Wisconsin.

However, the statute is silent on whether enforcement can cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that he filed insurance in another state. Thus, an out-of-state carrier, who has registered under the SSRS, but is not carrying the proper documentation, cannot be cited even though an in-state carrier can be cited for this same violation.

Law enforcement has requested a specific statute to clarify that a motor carrier registered by another state under the single-state registration system may operate in this state. It would allow them to cite carriers from other states who are not properly registered with this state or are not carrying a proper SSRS permit. The penalties for failure to file proof of insurance would then be consistent for Wisconsin carriers and out-of-state carriers.

The analysis for this bill is printed on the reverse side. If you are interested in cosponsoring LRB 0383/3, please contact my office at 266-1170 by January 15, 1999.

Home: 13081 Pine Road • Fennimore, Wisconsin 53089 • (608) 822-3776

Toll-Free: (888) 872-0049 • Fax: (608) 282-3649

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law prohibits a person from operating as a motor carrier (generally, persons who, for compensation, transport passengers or property by motor vehicle) unless the person possesses the appropriate authorization issued by the department of transportation (DOT), files with DOT proof of liability insurance and registers the vehicle in this state. Also under current law, this state participates in a federal single-state registration system, under which motor carriers that travel between states are required to obtain authorization, file proof of liability insurance and register the vehicle with the motor carrier's base state, instead of with each state in which the carrier operates.

The bill clarifies that motor carriers registered by another state under the single-state registration system may operate in this state without having to obtain authorization and register with this state. Also under the bill, motor carriers that are registered by another state under that system may operate in this state without having to file proof of liability insurance with DOT.

Krause, Sheri

From:

Frazier, Carson[SMTP:carson.frazier@dot.state.wi.us]

Sent:

Thursday, September 17, 1998 2:56 PM

To:

Rep.Brandemuehl

Subject:

SINGLE STATE REGISTRATION SYSTEM LEG REQUEST

Sherri, you'd asked for a simpler explanation of our SSRS request:. How does this sound?

The Single State Registration System (SSRS) is a base-state agreement with other states. Motor carriers (interstate truckers) file proof of insurance with their own (base) state, and that is accepted by the other states as adequate filing of insurance.

Wisconsin statutes currently authorize enforcement to cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that the carrier filed insurance in WISCONSIN. But the statute is silent on whether enforcement can cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that the carrier filed insurance in ANOTHER STATE. law enforcement would like to have a specific statute under which they can cite carriers from other states who do not carry a SSRS permit.

We would like to amend S. 194.34(1) and S.194.41(1) to clarify that all interstate regulated for-hire carriers must operate with a valid permit FROM ANY SSRS STATE. This will clarify that the penalties in S.194.17 apply to carriers from OTHER STATES with SSRS permits who operate in Wisconsin.



DAVID BRANDEMUEHL

State Representative 49th Assembly District

TO:

All Senators

FROM:

Rep. David Brandemuehl

DATE:

March 13, 2000

RE:

Assembly Bill 56

Assembly Bill 56 has been scheduled for Senate action on Tuesday, March 14. AB 56 would require all interstate regulated for-hire carriers to operate with a valid permit from any state participating in the Single State Registration System (SSRS).

Currently, Wisconsin participates in the SSRS, which is a base-state agreement, with 39 other states. Interstate motor carriers file proof of insurance with their own (base) state and pay permit fees for all states in which the vehicle will operate. The base state then transmits to all other states the permit fees due each state. Thus, registering under the SSRS assures all participating states that a carrier has filed insurance and is properly registered.

Wisconsin statutes currently authorize law enforcement to cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that the carrier filed insurance in Wisconsin. However, the statute is silent on whether law enforcement can cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that he filed insurance in another state. Thus, an out-of-state carrier, who has registered under the SSRS, but is not carrying the proper documentation, cannot be cited even though an in-state carrier can be cited for this same violation.

Law enforcement has requested a specific statute to clarify that a motor carrier registered by another state under the single-state registration system may operate in this state. AB 56 would allow them to cite carriers from other states who are not properly registered with this state or are not carrying a proper SSRS permit. The penalties for failure to file proof of insurance would then be consistent for Wisconsin carriers and out-of-state carriers.

I respectfully request your support for AB 56. Thank you.

Transportation (Chair); Education; Highway Safety; Natural Resources; Urban & Local Affairs; Rustic Roads Board; Transportation Projects Commission

Office: P.O. Box 8952 • Madison, Wisconsin 53708-8952 • (608) 266-1170 • Rep.Brandemuehl@legis.state.wi.us

Home: 13081 Pine Road • Fennimore, Wisconsin 53809 • (608) 822-3776

Toll-Free: (888) 872-0049 • Fax: (608) 282-3649



Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Tommy G. Thompson Governor

Charles H. Thompson Secretary

DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES 4802 Sheboygan Avenue P.O. Box 7949 Madison, WI 53707-7949

ASSEMBLY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 25, 1999 Testimony, Carson P. Frazier

AB 56: equalizing penalties for non-compliance with SSRS:

- Wisconsin participates in the Single State Insurance Registration System (SSRS).
 Thirty-nine states participate in the program.
- SSRS is a base-state agreement, in which a motor carrier files proof of insurance with its home state, and pays permit fees for any state that the carrier will be operating in. The base state then transmits to all other states the permit fees due to each state.
- Thus, registering under SSRS assures all participating states that the carrier has filed insurance in the base state.
- Wisconsin must rely on compliance in another state to stand in place of requiring the carrier to file insurance in Wisconsin
- In Wisconsin, motor carriers who operate intrastate (within Wisconsin only), and Wisconsin-based interstate motor carriers, must file proof of insurance with DMV. The penalty for non-compliance is \$500 to \$5,000.
- Law enforcement has requested a specific statute to clarify the penalty for an out-ofstate carrier operating in Wisconsin who is not complying with SSRS in another state.
- This bill makes the penalty for not complying with the SSRS the same as the penalty for intrastate or Wisconsin-based interstate carrier not filing insurance in Wisconsin.
- The bill makes out-of-state carriers face as stiff penalties for not filing insurance under SSRS as Wisconsin carriers face if they do not file insurance in Wisconsin.



BILL SUMMARY

AB 56: Single State Registration System

Date: March 16, 1999

BACKGROUND

Wisconsin currently participates in the Single State Insurance Registration System (SSRS) with 39 other states. The SSRS is a base-state agreement, in which an interstate motor carrier files proof of insurance with its home state and pays permit fees for any state that the carrier will be operating in. The base state then transmits to all other states the permit fees due to each state. If an interstate carrier is from a state that does not participate in the SSRS, then the carrier must register in the SSRS-participating state in which the carrier does operate and travels the most miles. By registering under SSRS, states are assured that interstate carriers have filed insurance and are properly registered in their base states.

Wisconsin-based motor carriers are required to file proof of insurance with the DOT's Division of Motor Vehicles. The penalty for non-compliance ranges from \$500 to \$5,000. State statutes currently authorize enforcement to cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that the carrier filed insurance in Wisconsin. However, the statutes are silent on whether enforcement can cite a motor carrier for not having documentation that insurance was filed in another state. Thus, an out-of-state carrier who has registered under the SSRS, but is not carrying the proper documentation, cannot be cited even though an in-state carrier can be cited for this same violation.

SUMMARY OF AB 56

Assembly Bill 56 would specifically clarify that a motor carrier registered in another state under the Single State Registration System may operate in this state. This will allow law enforcement to cite carriers from other states who are not carrying a proper SSRS permit.

FISCAL EFFECT

A fiscal estimate prepared by the Department of Transportation indicates that there is no fiscal effect.

PROS

- 1. AB 56 would make the penalties for failing to file proof of insurance consistent for Wisconsin-based carriers and out-of-state carriers. Currently, out-of-state carriers have the advantage.
- 2. AB 56 would also officially recognize the Single State Registration System in Wisconsin statutes.

CONS

None.

SUPPORTERS

Rep. David Brandemuehl, author; Sen. Roger Breske, lead co-sponsor; Rep. John LaFave; Carson Frazier, Wisconsin Department of Transportation

OPPOSITION

No one testified or registered in opposition to Assembly Bill 56.

HISTORY

Assembly Bill 56 was introduced on January 21, 1999, and referred to the Assembly Committee on Transportation. A public hearing was held on February 25, 1999. On March 2, 1999, the Committee voted 17-0-0 to recommend passage of AB 56.

CONTACT: Sheri Krause, Office of Rep. David Brandemuehl

Krause, Sheri

From: Frazier, Carson

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 1999 8:42 AM

To: Krause, Sheri Subject: RE: SSRS

actually, no (I had said that at hearing but I was wrong, as I found out when I asked about Canada and Mexico carriers). If a carrier is in a state that is not in SSRS, then the carrier must go with a SSRS-participating state to be the carrier's base state -- and it has to be the state in which the carrier has most miles. So, for example, if a carrier is from State X (not in SSRS) then the carrier has to file with STate Y, which is the SSRS state in which the carrier does operate and operates its most miles. All this information comes from carrier's mileage records which all carriers must keep, so it's not a difficult decision to make. hope this makes sense.

-----Original Message-----

From: Krause, Sheri

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 1999 8:33 AM

To: Frazier, Carson

Subject: SSRS

Good morning!

I just wanted to double check something - if a motor carrier is based in a state that does not participate in the SSRS, then the carrier is required to file proof of insurance directly with the WisDOT. Is this accurate?

Sheri